ENOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Beautiff from the class Action are in pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 图9 strequest this court to appoint courier to represent petitioner in the above entitled action for the following reasons:

In deciding whether to appoint coursel for an indigent litigant, the District court of Delaware articulated standards for evaluating a Motion for Appintment of Counsel Filed by a pro-se plaintiff. See Green Vs. FCM, 430 F. SUDD. 2d 383, 387 n. 3 (D. Del. 2006) (citing Tabran Vs. Grace, 6 F.3d 147 (3rd Cir. 1993) and Parhan Vs. Johnson, 126 F.3d 454 (3rd Cir. 1997). Initially, the court must examine the merits of a plaintiffs claim to determine whether it has some arguable merit in fact and law. See Parham. 126 F.3d at 457 (Citing Tabron, 6 F. 3d 157); accord Madin b. Freake, 65 fixed 885, 887 (7th Cir. 1981) (Per curium) (cited with approval in Tabron and Parhan). Only if the Court is satisfied that the claim is factually and legally meritorious, should it then examine the following factors ? (1) the plaintiff's ability to present his own case; (2) the Complexity of the lead issues presented: (3) the extensiveness of the factual investigation necessary to effectively litigate the case and the Plaintiff's ability to pursue such an investigation: (4) the degree to ishich the case may turn an crealbility determination: (C) whether the testiming of expert without will be necessary; and Wallether the plantiff can attain and afford counsel on his own behalf.

In support of this motion, the plantiff were the following:

to The plantiffe it is not be on the chality to present the in the contract.

I The plaintiffs is wiskilled in the law could the complexity or the lead issue. presented as the complaint is beyond plainting abilities to plant and etters is mentalingestions.

3. The plantiffication that the case may have an occulately determine

A. The pianodiffic cover that two in my of expert officers of the necessary 3. The ple nititle covernet attain and afterd occurred on mis con behalf

solve of the best adverted and a second of the best advect a destace in this case.

I the plane little allegations it private lonely is and a hiblish is Con Inhahrmal violation become en

A class action that was brough on behalf of the Delaware correctional center inmates seeking deduratory insunctive relief concerning conditions of confinement, inmates rights to adequate recreation, food and sanitation, Personal safety, due Process access to the courts were violated. All of which were Proscribed by Cruel and unusual Punishment and exposing the Eighth and fourteenth Amendments constitution. See... Carver vs. Knox county. Tenn 753 F. Supp. 1370 (1989) also see... French vs. Dwens 777 F. 2d. 1250 C.A. 7 (IND 1985).

The many class members are illiterate or Poorly educated or have little access to lawyers which should weigh in favor of class certification. See . . . United States ex rel. Sero vs. Preiser. 506 F.2d 1115. 1121 (2d cir. 1974) cert. denied. 421 U.S. 921 (1975): Leyva vs. Buley, 125 F.RD 512, 515 (E.D. Wash. 1989).

There is commonissues of law and fact as to all the Class members, and the existence of some factual variations. See ... Gomez vs. Illinois state Board of Education, 117 F.R.D. 394. 399 (N.D. III. 1987).

The claims of defenses of the representative Parties are typical of the fact that some class members might choose not to assert their rights does not mean that the named Plantiff's claims are not typical . nor dees the existence of other fadual variations. See ... Hassine Vs. Jeffes 1846 Fild 167.177 (3d Cir 1988)

The representative Parties must fairly and adequately Protect the interest of the class, which could be too complex for a Pro-se Prisoner to handle, that complexity ought to support appointment of counsel. See... Nilsson Vs. Cauglin, 670 F. Supp. 1186, 1191 (S.D. N. Y. 1987).

For the foregoing reasons, the Plaintiffs' have Provided the court with sufficient foundation for the court to appoint counsel in this case.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

		.]
11. JOHN C. JOHNSON &	279192)
WILLIAM GREDGIORY	7	
(3). DAYMIEN J. ROBERTS	374421]
141. BAYANT BANKS	218687	
(5). RONALD F. BROOKS	294765	1
(1). CARLOS JACKSON	273677	
(7). FENEL BAINE	450212.	Civil Action No.
(5) JOHN FOLKS	126234	
(1). BRANDON OWENS	501783	
(16). CHRIS ROGERS	308180	J
(ii) MICHAEL L. JONES	417267	
(17). JAMES CAULK	293676	· ·
PLAINTEFF.		
V S.		
(1), CARY DANBERG		
J) RICK KEARNEY	-	J
3) PERRY PHELP:		
D. MICHALL COSTELLO		J
SI. MICHALL LITTLE		
6) RODALD HOSICKAMA		7
DEFENDANT.		
ORDER		

IT IS HEREBY DROERED. THIS 21st. DAY OF AUGUST 2008, THAT THE ATTACHED MOTION FOR APPOINMENT OF COUNSEL HAS BEEN READ AND CONSIDERED. IT IS ORDERED THAT THE MOTION IS HEREBY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I. JOHN C. JOHNSON CLASS COUNSEL, Hereby cerify that I and the class have served a true and correct copties) of the attached: <u>Class action</u> <u>complaint by a Prisoner Under Civil Rirght Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 upon the following parties/person(s):</u>

Tos Clerk Office U.S. District court Lockbox 18 844 N. King Street Wilmington, Del. 19801

To & Attorney General office Deptment of Justice Carvel State Building 820 N. French Street Wilmington, Del. 19801

To: Carl Danberg Commissioner's office 245 McKee Road. Dover, Delaware 19904

To: Rick Kearney Bureau Chiefs office 245 McKee Road. Dover, Delaware 19904 to: Perry Phelps Warden's office Delaware Correctional center 1181 Paddock Rodd Smyrna, Delaware 19977.

Too Michael Costello Security Superintendant Delaware Correctional Center 1181 Paddock Road Swyrna, Delaware 19977.

Too Michael Little Legal Services Administrator Delaware Correctional center 1181 Paddock Road Smyrna, Delaware 19977. To & Ronald Hosterman Treatment Administrator Delaware Correctional Eenter 1181 Raddock Road Smyrna, Delaware 19977.

BY PLACING SAME IN A SEALED ENVELOPE and depositing same in the United States Mail at the Delaware Correctional Center, Smyrna, Delaware 1997.

ON THIS XIX. DAY OF August, 2008.

(1) (Inw) (! Johnson) #27919) (2) William X fregrey (3) Shyming Shorts #3744) (4) Project Benny (5) Romald & Brooks #374705 (6) Callo Jackson #213677 (7) Time Benny #450212 (8) John Colley #126234 (9) Brandon Brent \$56178 (10) Chrus Rogers #308180 (11) Mars Jan #417207 (12) James Cauld #293676

: Signature of Plaintiff's ?